

1046 Old Elizabethtown Road
Hodgenville, Kentucky 42748
January 5, 2010

Justice Antonin Scalia
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street Northeast
Washington, D.C. 20543

Dear Justice Scalia:

I have been following case 09-5043, regarding Kent Hovind and the structuring laws. I understand you will be reconsidering hearing it in conference this Friday, January 8.

I strongly urge you to specifically consider the most egregious hole in this case—that of withdrawing amounts of money less than \$10,000. Of course we would all consider it normal practice for people to regularly withdraw money from their bank accounts in amounts less than \$10,000. As the existing law reads to me, we are not “structuring” by withdrawing these smaller amounts because we have no goal for a definite large amount of money beyond \$10,000 to be withdrawn in total.

If this case is not overturned, Justice Scalia, it bothers me that case law will exist which makes anyone with a bank account a potential criminal. I will be talking to my Congressman to ask for the repeal of these laws in order to protect my own financial security and privacy. In addition, I ask you to carefully reconsider your denial of the Hovind case and choose to hear it.

I will be praying especially for you on Friday, January 8, while you are in conference. May you feel the presence of God most strongly at that time.

Blessings,

Adrienne Gilbert

1046 Old Elizabethtown Road
Hodgenville, Kentucky 42748
January 5, 2010

Justice Anthony Kennedy
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street Northeast
Washington, D.C. 20543

RE: Case 09-5043, the Interpretation of Structuring Laws

Dear Justice Kennedy:

I am watching case 09-5043, regarding Kent Hovind and the structuring laws, which you will be reconsidering in conference this Friday, January 8.

I strongly urge you to consider the effect this decision has on the most basic actions of most Americans— including withdrawing money from a bank in amounts less than \$10,000. As I understand the current law, I cannot be guilty of “structuring” when I make transactions less than \$10,000 over a long period of time because I have no goal for a definite large amount of money beyond \$10,000 to be withdrawn in total.

However, if the Supreme Court does not hear Mr. Hovind’s case, I fear that case law will exist which makes anyone with a bank account a potential criminal. This could easily happen due to the government’s allegation, such as in Mr. Hovind’s case, that \$9,500 can somehow be “structured” even though the law says “structuring” occurs when the total amount exceeds \$10,000.

I am contacting my Congressman to ask for these laws to be changed. But as legislation can be a long process, I ask you to protect me and the other American people now by hearing this case and clarifying the intent and scope of these laws.

I will be praying especially for you this Friday, January 8, while you are in conference considering this case.

Blessings,

Adrienne Gilbert

1046 Old Elizabethtown Road
Hodgenville, Kentucky 42748
January 5, 2010

Justice Samuel Alito
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street Northeast
Washington, D.C. 20543

RE: Case 09-5043, the Interpretation of Structuring Laws

Dear Justice Alito:

I am watching case 09-5043, regarding Kent Hovind and the structuring laws, which you will be reconsidering in conference this Friday, January 8.

I strongly urge you to hear the Hovind case due to the complexities of structuring laws which have emerged through this case. As I understood the law, once made aware of it, I could not be guilty of "structuring" by making transactions less than \$10,000 over a period of time. However, in reading what the lower courts have said, it seems they think the purpose or amount of transactions is irrelevant. If this becomes binding case law, anything could be construed to be "structuring" and that puts me and all other Americans in danger of being potential criminals at any given time.

If the Supreme Court hears the Hovind case, you will clarify once and for all what the Congress intended and means by the structuring laws. I have great confidence in the opinions of the Supreme Court, perhaps because they are written in plain English whereas the statutes can often be a jumble. As of right now, the law is very ambiguous, and I imagine it is even worse than ambiguous for many other Americans.

I am contacting my Congressman to ask for these laws to be changed. But as legislation can be a long process, I ask you to protect me and the other American people now by hearing this case and clarifying the intent and scope of these laws.

I will be praying especially for you this Friday, January 8, while you are in conference considering this case.

Blessings,

Adrienne Gilbert

1046 Old Elizabethtown Road
Hodgenville, Kentucky 42748
January 5, 2010

Justice Clarence Thomas
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street Northeast
Washington, D.C. 20543

RE: Case 09-5043, the Interpretation of Structuring Laws

Dear Justice Thomas:

I am watching case 09-5043, regarding Kent Hovind and the structuring laws. I understand you will be in conference this Friday, January 8, to reconsider hearing the Hovind case.

I strongly urge you to hear this case due to the ambiguity and confusion looming in the Hovind case. It seems to me that Congress had a different purpose and intent for structuring laws than what the lower courts have said. At this point, we as Americans are depending on you, the Supreme Court, to clarify once and for all what we can and cannot do with regard to our bank transactions.

Although statutes are often a jumble, I have great confidence in the plain English interpretation that the Supreme Court can produce for us to understand the scope of the structuring laws. Without hearing this case, I am afraid I could be construed as "structuring" at any time. After all, it's not unusual for someone to make transactions at their bank which are mostly, if not all, under \$10,000.

I will contact my Congressman to ask for these laws to be changed. But as legislation can be a long process, I ask you to protect me and the other American people **now** by hearing the Hovind case and clarifying the intent and scope of the structuring laws.

I will be praying especially for you this Friday, January 8, while you are in conference considering this case.

Blessings,

Adrienne Gilbert